

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,710	07/12/2001	Narasimhaswamy Manjunath	GFN- 5339DV	4467
75	90 10/02/2003		EXAM	IINER
	IENDERSON FARAB	GAMBEL	GAMBEL, PHILLIP	
GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3315			1644	. 0
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/200	1/2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/904710	MNNUATT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	GAMBEL	1644				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for repty specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for repty is specified above, the maximum startutory period Failure to repty within the set or extended period for repty will, by statut Any repty received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, heav a re by within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT.	(30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailling date of this communication. ANDONED (35 LLC C 423)				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	7/14/01:0/27h					
, —	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 1, 2, 4, 26 -3 Y						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•	•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement. 4	4 4 26-34				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action:						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
•						
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Petent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. / /				

Daronno 12

Serial No. 09/904710 Art Unit 1644

DETAILED ACTION

 Applicant's amendment, filed 7/12/02 (Paper No. 7), has been entered. Claims 3 and 5-25 have been canceled. Claims 1, 2 and 4 have been amended. Claims 26-34 have been added.

Applicant's amendment, filed 8/27/02 (Paper No. 11), has been entered. Claims 1 ans 28 have been amended.

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 26-34 are pending.

3. Claims 1-2 link Inventions I-VI. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1-2. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The claims encompass PSGL antagonist which do not share a substantial structural feature essential to a common utility. The claims encompass PSGL antagonists selected from the group consisting of PSGL, PSGL-specific antibody, sLex-specific antibody, sulfated tyrosine-specific antibody, mimetics which inhibit sLex binding and small molecule inhibitors of PSGL binding.

- 4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 1-2; drawn to methods of inhibiting T cell cytotoxicity with soluble PSGL-1, classified in Class 514, subclass 8.
- II. Claims 1-2, 4 and 26-34; drawn to methods of inhibiting T cell cytotoxicity with PSGL-specific antibodies, classified in Class 424, subclass 130.1.
- III. Claims 1-2; drawn to methods of inhibiting T cell cytotoxicity with sLex-specific antibodies, classified in Class 424, subclass 137.1.
- IV. Claims 1-2; drawn to methods of inhibiting T cell cytotoxicity with sulfated tyrosine-specific antibodies, classified in Class 424, subclass 152.1.

Serial No. 09/904710 Art Unit 1644

V. Claims 1-2; drawn to methods of inhibiting T cell cytotoxicity with mimetics which inhibit sLex binding classified in Class 514, subclass 12.

- VI. Claims 1-2; drawn to methods of inhibiting T cell cytotoxicity with small molecule inhibitors of PSGL binding, classified in Class 514, subclass 885.
- 5. Inventions I-VI are different methods, which require patentably distinct ingredients and method steps Therefore, they are patentably distinct.

As indicated above, the claims encompass methods employing ingredients encompassing PSGL antagonists which do not share a substantial structural feature essential to a common utility. The claims encompass PSGL antagonists selected from the group consisting of PSGL, PSGL-specific antibody, sLex-specific antibody, sulfated tyrosine-specific antibody, mimetics which inhibit sLex binding and small molecule inhibitors of PSGL binding.

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any Group from Groups I-VI is not required for any other group from Groups I-VI and Groups I-VI have acquired a separate status in the art because the searches are not co-extensive and encompass divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Group II (and Groups I and III-VI): wherein the disease or condition is:
 - A) autoimmune,
 - B) allergy, or
 - C) asthma.

These species are distinct because their etiologies and therapeutic endpoints are differ. Therefore, they are separate and patentably distinct species issues in determining patentability.

If applicant intends to include viral infections or combating tumors as disclosed on page 34 of the instant specification, then these diseases or conditions would be subject to an election of species.

It is noted that claims directed to Groups I and III-VI do not recite "diseases or conditions" n per se. However, if applicant does elect one of the Groups selected from Groups I and III-VI, then applicant must elect one of the species indicated herein.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-2 are generic.

Serial No. 09/904710 Art Unit 1644

8. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

- 9. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip Gambel whose telephone number is (703) 308-3997. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 872-9306.

Phillip Gambel, PhD.
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 1600
September 23, 2003